```
behalf of Ms. Fetterly, and I represent Jim Peters.
 1
 2
              My first question relates to the gentleman by
 3
     the name of Jim Rulli.
 4
              Do you know who is?
 5
          A
              No.
 6
          Q
              Do you ever recall meeting him?
 7
          A
              No.
 8
          Q
              Okay. Do you know Jim Peters?
 9
          A
              No.
10
          0
              Do you ever recall meeting him?
11
          A
              No.
12
          Q
              Okay.
                    Do you ever recall talking to Mr. Rulli
     either in person or over the telephone?
13
14
          A
              No.
15
          Q
              Okay. Do you ever recall speaking to
         Peters either in person or over the telephone?
16
17
          A
              No.
                   I don't recall that.
18
          Q
                     Do you recall having any meeting in
     which Mr. Peters and Mr. Rulli were together speaking
19
20
     with you?
21
          A
                   I don't recall that.
22
                     So is it fair to say -- well, I'll just
          0
              Okay.
              Do you recall telling anybody by the name of
23
     ask it.
     Jim Peters anything in a conversation either in person or
24
25
     over the phone?
```

Objection

limine 13,

L5;

Plaintiff

reserves right to

highlighti

motion is denied.

dkt at

ng <u>i</u>f

202

based on motion in

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Okay. So is it fair to say you don't recall the content of anything you told Mr. Peters either in person or over the phone?

Yes. A

No.

A

With respect to Mr. Rulli, I would ask Q Okay. the same question.

Do you recall ever speaking with him in person supplement or over the phone?

A No.

Do you recall the content of anything Q Okay. you told Mr. Rulli either in person or over the phone?

I don't have a recollection of that. No.

MR. JUDGE: Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. JUSTICE:

Ms. Link, my name is John Justice. I'm an attorney representing Sharon Krause. I have a couple questions for you.

You mentioned that you did recall speaking with Sharon Krause at some point in time; is that correct?

A Yes.

Do you remember when those conversations took Q place?

```
1
          A
              No, I don't.
 2
          Q
              Do you remember if there was more than one
 3
     conversation?
 4
          A
              No.
 5
              Do you remember meeting -- whether or not you
          Q
 6
     met with her in person?
 7
          A
              No.
                   I don't recall that.
 8
          Q
              Is it possible that you did and just don't
 9
     recall?
10
              It's possible.
          A
11
              And do you recall the contents of any of the
12
     conversations that you had with Sharon Krause?
13
          A
              I remember finding out that she had met with
14
     Katie Spencer and that she had said Katie revealed
15
     details of sexual abuse to her and that she'd spent
16
     some -- a fair amount of time with her.
17
          Q
              Do you remember if that information was relayed
     to you while you were in the middle of counseling
18
19
     Katie Spencer or at some point other than that? I mean
20
     can you pinpoint where in your relationship with
     Katie Spencer that information came to you?
21
22
          Α
               I think it was while I was still doing therapy
23
     with Katie Spencer.
24
          Q
              Did you --
25
          A
               It was around that -- it was --
```

1 Did you attempt to confirm or dispel that 2 information that you had received from Sharon Krause in 3 your sessions with Katie Spencer? A Not that I recall. 4 No. 5 If you received information from someone other Q 6 than your patient that they have possibly -- that your 7 patient has been possibly the victim of sexual abuse, 8 does that fall within your mandatory reporting 9 requirements under the California law? 10 A Yes. 11 And yet you do not recall whether or not after receiving that information from Sharon Krause that you 12 13 reported that information to the authorities? 14 A That's correct. 15 But you are saying that you would normally do 16 that if you had received information from a third party 17 not necessarily limited to your patient; is that correct? 18 19 Correct. A 20 Any other details or information that you 21 recall in your communications with Sharon Krause, either 22 receiving from her or communicating to her, that you 23 haven't disclosed in this deposition? 24 I have no other recollections of talking 25 to Sharon Krause.

1 I'm curious about the development of the 2 declaration that we have received from you. 3 Did you at any point in time in your recent communications with plaintiffs' counsel write down your 4 recollection of your therapy with Katie Spencer and share 5 that with plaintiffs' counsel? 6 7 A Write it down? No. 8 Q Did you type it out? Is there any other 9 written document that you have created that was provided to plaintiffs' counsel prior to the declaration being 10 created? 11 12 A No. So the first written document that attempted to 13 0 14 formulate your testimony in this declaration came from 15 the plaintiffs' counsel? I'm sorry. What was the question? 16 A 17 Q The question was is it true to say that the first written document that was characterized as your 18 19 testimony in this case came from the plaintiffs' counsel. 20 Ä You mean the affidavit that we developed? 21 0 Yes. 22 A Yes. 23 Q I want to apologize for jumping around a little bit. That kind of comes from going last. 24 25 But you mentioned that you received your Ph.D.

77124					
1	from an institution, and I didn't catch the name of that				
2	institution.				
3	A Professional School of Psychology.				
4	Q Where's that located at?				
5	A San Francisco.				
6	Q Was that were you in person there or is that				
7	a distance learning facility?				
8	A Some of the classes were in Sacramento. Some				
9	of them were in San Francisco.				
10	Q So it's an actual brick and mortar				
11	institution?				
12	A Yeah. We attended classes in San Francisco.				
13	Q And is that at a place called the				
14	Professional School of Psychology or is it do they				
1.5	have two different branches? I'm not understanding that.				
1.6	A Well, I just recall we went to classes at the				
17	Professional School of Psychology in San Francisco. I				
1.8	don't remember exactly the address or buildings.				
19	Q And you said something about Sacramento as				
20	well.				
21	Did they have a branch in Sacramento?				
22	A I believe so.				
23	Q What was your dissertation in?				
24	A It was about altruistic behavior in				
25	schizophrenics.				
L					

```
Is that published? Is it available online?
       1
       2
                A
                     I don't believe it's online. No.
                                                          It wasn't
       3
           published.
                     MR. JUSTICE: That's all the questions I have.
       4
                     MS. ZELLNER: I've got a few questions.
       5
                                                                This
           is Kathleen Zellner again just for clarification.
       6
       7
                             FURTHER EXAMINATION
           BY MS. ZELLNER:
                     Dr. Link, could you look back at Exhibit 1,
Response9
declaration
           your affidavit.
is a properly
                     Yes.
authenticated
                            If you look at paragraph 7, could you
                     Okay.
exhibit 12d this
deposition;
           read that into the record, please.
Dr. Link's
                     "I specifically recall that at no point during
testimon4 that
certain
statements
           my sessions with Kathryn did she describe being molested
are thur6
           by anyone."
is the
                     Is it a correct statement that you have an
evidence;
Plaintiff
18
           independent recollection that Kathryn Spencer did not
is not seek-
ing to 19
           describe being sexually abused to you?
substitute the
         for her
                     Yes.
affidav20
testimpny;
                     Then if we look at paragraph 10, could you read
also, lines
           that into the record.
17-20 a22
not subject
to objection
                     "I never would have told any person, including
to exhibat;
           law enforcement, that Kathryn had described any abuse to
           me because she had not done so."
      25
```

the

Q Okay. Is that a true statement? 2 Yes.	
2 A Yes.	
Q When counsel if Kathryn Spencer did not	
4 report sexual abuse to you, would you have reported to	
5 Prosecutor Peters that Kathryn Spencer had been sexuall	У
6 abused?	
7 MR. JUDGE: Objection to the form of the	
8 question.	
9 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who was that?	
10 MR. JUDGE: I'm sorry. Dan Judge.	
11 BY MS. ZELLNER:	
12 Q If Kathryn Spencer did not report sexual abuse	2
13 to you, would you have reported that she had been	
14 sexually abused to Prosecutor Peters?	
MR. JUDGE: Objection to the form.	
Go ahead.	
17 THE WITNESS: If she had not reported to me	
18 that she was sexually abused, would I have reported it	to
19 Peters? Is that what you're asking?	-
20 BY MS. ZELLNER:	
21 Q Right.	
22 A I'm sorry.	-
Q Right. Would you have reported it to	
24 Prosecutor Peters if she had not told you that she had	
25 been sexual abused?	

```
1
                     No, I would not have.
                 A
       2
                 Q
                     Would you have reported to
       3
           Defense Attorney Rulli if she had not been sexually
            abused?
       4
       5
                 A
                     No.
                     And would you have reported to Sharon Krause if
       6
       7
            she had not been sexually abused?
       8
                 A
                     No.
       9
                     Now, you had stated previously that you don't
      10
           recall using anatomical dolls with Kathryn Spencer.
      11
                     Kathryn Spencer you say -- you remember did not
           describe being molested by anyone. Correct?
      12
      13
                 A
                     Correct.
                     So would there be any reason for you to use
      14
      15
           anatomical dolls with Kathryn Spencer if she made no
      16
           report of abuse to you?
      17
                 A
                     No.
Response
                     Would that be considered proper?
                                                        Would that
-What
understpod
           conform with the standard of care for a psychologist,
as proper
           you believe, to not show the dolls -- anatomical dolls if
relevant t
      21
           someone had not been abused?
she would
      22
                     Yes.
                     MS. ZELLNER: Okay. All right.
       23
                                                       I don't have
during
            any further questions.
therap
      25
            ///
Katie,
```

i.e., not use anatomic Golden State Reporting & Video Services (866) 324-4727

Page: 85

dolls.

with

what

have done

r			
	1	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
	2	BY MR. FREIMUND:	
	3	Q I just have one follow-up question this is	
	4	Jeff Freimund in regards to your training, Ms. Link.	
espor	nse: ant to	Back in 1984 and 1985 when you were a	- /
hat	6	psychology intern and Ph.D. candidate, do you recall	\mathcal{J}_{i}
echni r. Li	ques nk7	receiving any training whatsoever on interview techniques	N.
tilia	ed ₈	that should be used with child victims of sexual abuse?	. 9
uring atie	fl I	erapy, A Yes.	3
hich	resu	lted in	E.
o dis		ules.	6
	11	I'm sorry. Who do you recall receiving such training	:3
	12	from?	5
	13	A What was your what was your statement	X
	14	preceding that question?	3
	15	Q You mean what kind of training am I talking	3
	16	about?	K
	17	A No. No. What did you say before?	17
	18	Can you just read the last couple of questions	T.
	19	there?	
	20	Q I'm just asking you said that you recall	4
	21	receiving training back in 1984 and 1985 when you were a	
	22	psychology intern and Ph.D. candidate regarding the	
	23	interview techniques that are used for child sexual abuse	
	24	victims and I'm asking who you received that training	
	25	from.	

Case 3:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 219-13 Filed 12/17/13 Page 11 of 12

Response to Defense objection Deposition of Ann Link, Ph.D. SPENCER VS. PETERS from page 87 to 89: -Id. I received training actually during my MFT -The Eact that experience with Dr. Mary Ann Frank. Dr. Link was properly What's your MFT experience? I don't know what trained and you're referring to. utilized techniques Marriage, family -- marriage, family, and child from her training counseling license that I had at the time. is relevant Okay. What was the nature of your training? to the How long a session was it? strength of 9 A It was multiple sessions. Katie's non-And this was specifically on interview disclosure and 11 techniques that are used with child sexual abuse supports victims? 12 claim that Krause Yes. misquoted and And is it interview techniques in the Okav. misrepresented statements forensic sense of being an investigator of child sexual attributed abuse allegations that you were being trained on? to Katie 17 No. A What was the context of the training then? 18 19 Therapy. 20 So it was how to administer therapy to child 21 sexual abuse victim that you're talking about? It was how to administer therapy as well 22 Yes. as how to interview them about it in a therapy context. 23 O And what do you recall knowing back in 24 25 1984 and '85 about interviewing children in a therapy

context regarding sexual abuse allegations? 1 That if the child disclosed sexual abuse to 2 you, then you might clarify what they have said by using 3 anatomically correct dolls to help them communicate what 4 5 had happened to them. 6 All right. Anything else? Same A That there was -- it was very important not to response as make any kind of leading statements or questions to 8 p. 87 children, particularly if they had not testified or given 9 statements. It was very important not to contaminate 10 11 evidence they might give. 12 0 Okay. Anything else? 13 A Well, it was important to reassure them and help them to feel safe and to feel like they hadn't done 14 anything wrong. That, you know, it was okay to talk 15 16 about their feelings and things like that. 17 0 Anything else that you can remember that Okay. 18 you received training on in this regard? 19 That you shouldn't in any way say anything, you know, or imply anything that they should say in a court 20 or any kind of legal proceeding that might sway their 21 22 impressions of what had happened to them. 23 Okay. Anything else that you remember receiving training about in regard to interviewing 24 techniques in the therapeutic context to child sexual 25